

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,447	AKIYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Royal Ronning.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2005

Time: 3:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

5, 7 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

Muller, Jensen


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Ronning agreed to the cancellation of the non-elected structures in claim 5 and claims 19-22, 24, 29, 36-39, 45-50, 61, 62, 64, 68, 75, 76, 81 and 83. The changes will be executed by an Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)